

## 10 CLIMATE (CLIMATE CHANGE)

### 10.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter assesses the likely climate impacts associated with the proposed residential development comprising Luttrellstown Gate Phase 2 (Plot 1) and St Mochta's LRD (Plot 2) located in the townlands of Kellystown and Porterstown respectively, in Clonsilla, Dublin 15. Each site will consist of a mix of residential units and associated site works. A full description of the development is available in Chapter 3 (Description of the Proposed Development).

The climate assessment is divided into two distinct sections – a greenhouse gas assessment (GHGA) and a climate change risk assessment (CCRA).

- Greenhouse Gas Emissions Assessment (GHGA) – Quantifies the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from a project over its lifetime. The assessment compares these emissions to relevant carbon budgets, targets and policy to contextualise magnitude.
- Climate Change Risk Assessment (CCRA) – Identifies the impact of a changing climate on a project and receiving environment. The assessment considers a project's vulnerability to climate change and identifies adaptation measures to increase project resilience.

This chapter was completed by Ciara Nolan. Ciara is a Principal Environmental Consultant in the Air Quality & Climate section of AWN Consulting, a Trinity Consultants Company. She holds a BSc in Energy Systems Engineering from University College Dublin and has also completed an MSc in Applied Environmental Science at UCD. She is a Member of the Institute of Air Quality Management (MIAQM) and the Institution of Environmental Sciences (MIEnvSc). She has over 8 years of experience in undertaking air quality and climate assessments. She has prepared air quality and climate impact assessments as part of EIARs for residential developments including Woodbrook, Shankill (Planning Application Ref. ABP30584419), Ballygossan Park, Skerries (Planning Application Ref. LRD0010/S3), SHD Ratoath (Planning Application Ref. SH305196), SHD Rathmullen, Drogheda (Planning Application Ref. SH305552), commercial and industrial developments by Dublin Airport Authority, Abbvie, Mountpark, Pfizer, Takeda, as well as renewable energy developments such as Crockahenny Windfarm, Upperchurch Windfarm, Knocknamona Windfarm and Keerglen Windfarm. She also specialises in conducting air dispersion modelling assessments of emissions from data centres, energy centres and the chemical industry as part of EPA Industrial Emissions Licences for Echelon DC, AWS, Takea, MSD and Regeneron. She has undertaken air quality and climate impact assessments for transportation schemes, primarily regional and national road schemes, from constraints, through to route selection and EIAR stage.

### 10.2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

#### 10.2.1 Relevant Guidance, Legislation and Policy

##### 10.2.1.1 Guidance

The principal guidance and best practice documents used to inform the assessment of potential impacts on climate are summarised below. In addition to specific climate guidance documents, the following guidelines were considered and consulted in the preparation of this chapter:

- Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (hereafter referred to as the EPA Guidelines) (Environmental Protection Agency, 2022); and
- Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects – Guidance on the Preparation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (hereafter referred to as the EU Guidance) (European Commission, 2017).

The assessment has made reference to national guidelines where available, in addition to international standards and guidelines relating to the assessment of climate impacts. These are summarised below:

- Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) PE-ENV-01104: Climate Guidance for National Roads, Light Rail and Rural Cycleways (Offline & Greenways) – Overarching Technical Document (TII, 2022a);
- TII GE-ENV-01106: TII Carbon Assessment Tool for Road and Light Rail Projects and User Guidance Document (TII, 2024a);
- Institute of Environmental Management & Assessment (IEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to: Assessing GHG Emissions and Evaluating their Significance (hereafter referred to as the IEMA 2022 GHG Guidance) (IEMA, 2022);
- IEMA Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to: Climate Change Resilience and Adaptation (hereafter referred to as the IEMA 2020 EIA Guide) (IEMA, 2020a);
- IEMA GHG Management Hierarchy (hereafter referred to as the IEMA 2020 GHG Management Hierarchy) (IEMA, 2020b);
- IEMA Principles Series: Climate Change Mitigation & EIA (IEMA, 2010);
- Carbon Management in Infrastructure and Built Environment - PAS 2080 (BSI, 2023); and
- Technical Guidance on the Climate Proofing of Infrastructure in the Period 2021-2027 (European Commission, 2021a).

#### 10.2.1.2 Legislation

In 2015, the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act 2015 (No. 46 of 2015) (Government of Ireland, 2015) was enacted (the 2015 Act). The purpose of the 2015 Act was to enable Ireland “to pursue, and achieve, the transition to a low carbon, climate resilient and environmentally sustainable economy by the end of the year 2050” (section 3(1)). This is referred to in the 2015 Act as the “national transition objective”. The 2015 Act made provision for a national mitigation plan and a national adaptation framework. In addition, the 2015 Act provided for the establishment of the Climate Change Advisory Council, with the function to advise and make recommendations on the preparation of the national mitigation and adaptation plans and compliance with existing climate obligations.

The first Climate Action Plan (CAP) was published by the Irish Government in June 2019 (Government of Ireland, 2019). The CAP 2019 outlined the current status across key sectors including Electricity, Transport, Built Environment, Industry, and Agriculture, and outlined the various broadscale measures required for each sector to achieve ambitious decarbonisation targets. The CAP 2019 also detailed the required governance arrangements for implementation, including carbon-proofing of policies, establishment of carbon budgets, a strengthened Climate Change Advisory Council, and greater accountability to the Oireachtas. The Government published the second CAP in November 2021 (Government of Ireland, 2021a) with further updated CAPs in December 2022 (Government of Ireland, 2022) and December 2023 (DECC, 2023a). The fifth and most recent CAP, was published in April 2025 (Government of Ireland, 2025).

Following on from Ireland declaring a climate and biodiversity emergency in May 2019, and the European Parliament approving a resolution declaring a climate and environment emergency in Europe in November 2019, the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021 (Government of Ireland, 2021) (hereafter referred to as the 2021 Climate Act) was enacted on 23 July 2021, giving statutory effect to the core objectives stated within the CAP.

The purpose of the 2021 Climate Act is to provide for the approval of plans “for the purpose of pursuing the transition to a climate resilient, biodiversity rich and climate neutral economy by no later than the end of the year 2050”. The 2021 Climate Act also provides for “carbon budgets and a decarbonisation target range for certain sectors of the economy”. The 2021 Climate Act defines the carbon budget as “the total amount of greenhouse gas emissions that are permitted during the budget period”.

In relation to carbon budgets, the 2015 Act (as amended) states “A carbon budget, consistent with furthering the achievement of the national climate objective, shall be proposed by the Climate Change

*Advisory Council, finalised by the Minister and approved by the Government for the period of 5 years commencing on the 1 January 2021 and ending on 31 December 2025 and for each subsequent period of 5 years (in this Act referred to as a ‘budget period’)*. The carbon budget is to be produced for three sequential budget periods, as shown in Table 10.1. The carbon budget can be revised where new obligations are imposed under the law of the European Union or international agreements or where there are significant developments in scientific knowledge in relation to climate change. In relation to the sectoral emissions ceilings, the Minister for the Environment, Climate and Communications (the Minister for the Environment) shall prepare and submit to government the maximum amount of GHG emissions that are permitted in different sectors of the economy during a budget period and different ceilings may apply to different sectors. The sectoral emission ceilings for 2030 were published in CAP24 (DECC, 2023a) and are shown in Table 10.2.

**Table 10.1 5-Year Carbon Budgets 2021-2025, 2026-2030 and 2031-2025**

Budget Period	Carbon Budget	Reduction Required
2021-2025	295 Mt CO <sub>2</sub> e	Reduction in emissions of 4.8% per annum for the first budget period.
2026-2030	200 Mt CO <sub>2</sub> e	Reduction in emissions of 8.3% per annum for the second budget period.
2031-2035	151 Mt CO <sub>2</sub> e	Reduction in emissions of 3.5% per annum for the third provisional budget.

**Table 10.2 Sectoral Emissions Ceilings 2030**

Sector	Baseline (MtCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Carbon Budgets (MtCO <sub>2</sub> e)		2030 Emissions (MtCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Indicative Emissions % Reduction in Final Year of 2025 – 2030 Period (Compared to 2018)
	2018	2021-2025	2026-2030		
Electricity	10	40	20	3	75
Transport	12	54	37	6	50
Built Environment – Residential	7	29	23	4	40
Built Environment – Commercial	2	7	5	1	45
Industry	7	30	24	4	35
Agriculture	23	106	96	17.25	25
Other (F-gases, waste, petroleum refining)	2	9	8	1	50
Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry (LULUCF)	5	Reflecting the continued volatility for LULUCF baseline emissions to 2030 and beyond, CAP24 puts in place ambitious activity targets for the sector reflecting an EU-type approach.			
Total	68				
Unallocated Savings	-	-	26	-5.25	-
Legally Binding Carbon Budgets and 2030 Emission Reduction Targets	-	295	200	-	51

### 10.2.1.3 Policy

#### 10.2.1.3.1 Greenhouse Gas Policy

In 2024, the Government published its Long-Term Strategy on Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions (DECC, 2024). This strategy provides a long-term plan on how Ireland will transition towards net carbon zero by 2050, achieving the interim targets set out in the CAP.

In December 2023, CAP24 was published, establishing key actions to deliver a 51% reduction in GHG emissions by 2030 (compared to 2018 levels) and achieve climate neutrality by 2050 (DECC, 2023a). The updated and current CAP25, published in April 2025, builds on the progress of the previous four iterations of the CAP, with CAP23 first publishing carbon budgets and sectoral emission ceilings, and

reaffirms Ireland's climate ambition, with a focus on delivery, implementation and measurable outcomes, particularly ahead of the second carbon budget period (2026–2030). 2025 is the last year in the first 5-year carbon budget period. During the initial 5-year budget period the average annual reduction required was 4.8%, this increases to 8.3% in the second budget period (2026–2030). CAP25 retains the high-impact sectors where the biggest savings can be achieved, while emphasising public sector leadership and green procurement. These sectors include renewable energy, energy efficiency of buildings, transport, sustainable farming, sustainable business and land-use change.

CAP25 also includes targeted actions to decarbonise industrial heat and support the transition to carbon-neutral manufacturing processes. Public sector leadership is strengthened through a new Buying Greener: Green Public Procurement Strategy and Action Plan (2024–2027), the development of mandatory Climate Action Roadmaps, and enhanced emissions monitoring and reporting across government operations. To support innovation and ensure future economic resilience, IDA Ireland continues to attract and support businesses investing in climate technologies and low-carbon solutions.

CAP25 highlights a significant 17% reduction in electricity emissions in early 2024, with wind power supplying nearly 40% of Ireland's total electricity demand and over 100,000 rooftop microgenerators connected to the grid. Investments are ongoing in grid reinforcement, offshore wind development, and interconnectors with France and the UK to enhance renewable generation capacity. EirGrid, Enterprise Ireland and IDA Ireland have recently signed an MoU to collectively support offshore wind development in Ireland.

CAP25 also reinforces targets first outlined in CAP24 to reduce the embodied carbon of construction materials, with a 10% reduction by 2025 and 30% reduction by 2030 for materials produced and used in Ireland. Cement and high embodied carbon construction materials can be reduced through product substitution, reduced clinker content in cement and uptake of low-carbon construction methods, including those outlined in the Construction Industry Federation 2021 report Modern Methods of Construction (Construction Industry Federation, 2021). There also remains scope for the construction industry to use more timber in construction. In 2022, 24% of new construction in Ireland was built using timber frames to satisfy the demand for housing. Public bodies are now required under the Public Sector Mandate to use best practice project design to reduce embodied carbon; procure concretes with clinker replacements (lower carbon); and require that large construction projects produce a whole life cycle GHG emissions assessment.

Furthermore, CAP25 advances sector-specific measures in green procurement, electrification of transport and heat, and just transition (with the introduction of a Just Transition Commission) to support vulnerable communities. Transport emissions increased by 0.3%. Electric vehicles and the use of biofuels are stated as the best means of transport emission reductions in the medium term.

The Fingal County Council (FCC) Climate Action Plan 2024–2029 (FCC and Codema, 2024) outlines FCC's goals to mitigate GHG emissions and plans to prepare for and adapt to climate change. The FCC Climate Action Plan states that FCC aims to reduce car dependency by encouraging modal shifts from cars to active travel and more sustainable modes, including public transport and cycling. FCC states that it wishes to work with the relevant transportation bodies to introduce measures to achieve modal shifts.

#### 10.2.1.3.2 Climate Change Vulnerability Policy

The second National Adaptation Framework (NAF) (DECC, 2024) was published in June 2024, in line with the five-year requirement of the 2015 Act, as amended. The plan provides a whole of government and society approach to climate adaptation in Ireland to reduce Ireland's vulnerability to climate change risks including extreme weather events, flooding, drought, loss of biodiversity, sea level rise and increased temperatures. Similar to the *"Just Transition"* when considering carbon emissions, the NAF aims for *"Just Resilience"* stating that:

*"A climate resilient Ireland will have a reduced reliance on fossil fuel, it will have widely accessible electrified public transport and will have transitioned towards sustainable agricultural practices such as agroforestry and organic farming."*

In relation to the built environment, the NAF states in Chapter 3, *“deepening of adaptation considerations in the planning and building standards processes is considered the most appropriate way of increasing the resilience of the built environment”*. Within the NAF it mentions that there is a risk of damage to buildings and structures from severe weather events such as high winds and intense rainfall. New development should accommodate predicted future climate change impacts without requiring major redesign or redevelopment in the future, which may be costly and inefficient. This will require facilitating innovative building design, new materials and standards (to accommodate hotter summers while withstanding changes in precipitation patterns and more intense storms for example).

The National Climate Change Risk Assessment (NCCRA) was published in May 2024 (EPA, 2024a). The NCCRA was required to be developed under Action 457 from the 2021 CAP (Government of Ireland 2021). Action 457 seeks to *“Further develop Ireland’s national climate change risk assessment capacity to identify the priority physical risks of climate change to Ireland”*. The NCCRA uses definitions of the risk determinants from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Risk Framework (IPCC 2023):

- **Hazard** – the potential occurrence of a natural or human-induced physical event or trend or physical impact that may cause loss of life, injury, or other health impacts, as well as damage and loss to property, infrastructure, livelihoods, service provision, ecosystems, and environmental resources.
- **Exposure** – the presence of people, livelihoods, species or ecosystems, environmental functions, services, and resources, infrastructure, or economic, social, or cultural assets in places and settings that could be adversely affected.
- **Vulnerability** – the propensity or predisposition to be adversely affected. Vulnerability encompasses a variety of concepts including sensitivity.
- **Risk** – the potential for adverse consequences for human or ecological systems.

When considering risk, the NCCRA assesses exposure and vulnerability for two future climate change scenarios or Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs):

- RCP4.5 was selected as it represents a scenario aligned with the global temperature trajectory.
- RCP8.5 was selected as it represents a high-emissions scenario and achieves the highest level of modelled temperature increases by the end of the century. Consequently, this scenario will result in the highest level of physical risk for Ireland, and therefore the greatest requirement for adaptation.

These scenarios align with a conservative approach to the assessment of risks to Ireland and assume that global emission reduction targets are not met. This aligns with the principle of precaution as stated in the NAF (DECC 2024). In addition to the future climate scenarios, the NCCRA assesses the risk from the future climate during the following timeframes:

- Present (~2030);
- Medium term (~2050); and
- Long term (~2100).

The FCC Climate Action Plan highlights the risks that climate change poses to the transportation network, with risks mainly associated with extreme weather events. The FCC Climate Action Plan notes that cold spells and flooding (pluvial, fluvial coastal, etc) and have the greatest future risk when both the likelihood and consequence are accounted for. Increases in flooding will cause an inundation of residential properties, damages to commercial buildings and premises, and disruption of transport networks.

## 10.2.2 Greenhouse Gas Assessment

As per the EU guidance document *Guidance on Integrating Climate Change and Biodiversity into Environmental Impact Assessment* (European Commission, 2013) the climate baseline is first established with reference to EPA data on annual GHG emissions (see Section 10.3.1).

### 10.2.2.1 Construction Phase

The GHG assessment accounts for various components relating to the project during different life stages to determine the total impact of the development on climate. The reference study period (i.e. the assumed building life expectancy) for the purposes of the assessment is 60 years. Embodied carbon emissions are attributed to four main categories, taken from BS EN 15978. The categories are:

- **Product Stages (Category A1 to A3)** The carbon emissions generated at this stage arise from extracting the raw materials from the ground, their transport to a point of manufacture and then the primary energy used (and the associated carbon impacts that arise) from transforming the raw materials into construction products.
- **Construction (Category A4 to A5)** These carbon impacts arise from transporting the construction products to site, and their subsequent processing and assembly into the building.
- **Use Stage (Category B1 to B7)** This covers a wide range of sources from the GHG emissions associated with the operation of the building (B1), maintenance (B2), repair (B3), refurbishment (B4) and replacement (B5) of materials, and operational energy use (B6) and water use (B7).
- **End of Life Stages (Category C1 to C4)** The eventual deconstruction and disposal of the existing building at the end of its life takes account of the on-site activities of the demolition contractors. No “credit” is taken for any future carbon benefit associated with the reuse or recycling of a material into new products.

PE-ENV-01104 (TII, 2022a) recommends the calculation of the construction stage embodied carbon using the TII Online Carbon Tool (TII, 2024a). Embodied carbon refers to the sum of the carbon needed to produce a good or service. It incorporates the energy needed in the mining or processing of raw materials, the manufacturing of products and the delivery of these products to site. The purpose of the embodied carbon assessment is to engage the design team in the consideration of embodied carbon at an early stage in the development and mitigate embodied carbon. This engagement aims to ensure carbon savings are made and to assist in aligning the project to Ireland’s CAP goal of Net Carbon Zero by 2050. TII also state that the use of other, alternative carbon tools is permissible for developments.

The Carbon Designer for Ireland tool was used to quantify the GHG emissions associated with the building elements of the proposed development. The Irish Green Building Council in partnership with One Click LCA Ltd have developed the Carbon Designer for Ireland tool (One Click LCA Ltd, 2023) for use on Irish specific building projects. The Carbon Designer tool is promoted by the EPA and the Land Development Agency. OneClickLCA is certified to EN 15978, EN 15978, ISO 21931 – 1 & ISO 21929, and data requirements of ISO 14040 & EN 15804, and is LEED, BREEAM and PAS 2080 aligned. It allows users to assess the carbon impact of buildings at an early stage using typical default materials and values. Inputs to the tool include the gross floor area and number of stories above ground level along with the building frame type. Once the baseline is established using generic data, the tool allows for optioneering and optimization of the carbon impact. It highlights the key areas within the building with the highest carbon impact and provides options for lower carbon intensive materials. The Carbon Designer for Ireland tool was completed by AWN Consulting using the schedule of areas for the proposed development as provided by the project architects. This was used to assess the GHG impact of the building elements of the proposed development.

Reasonable conservative estimates have been used in this assessment where necessary to provide an estimate of the GHGs associated with the proposed development.

### 10.2.2.2 Operational Phase

#### 10.2.2.2.1 Traffic Emissions

Emissions from road traffic associated with the proposed development have the potential to emit carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) which will impact climate.

The TII guidance *Air Quality Assessment of Specified Infrastructure Projects – PE-ENV-01106* (TII, 2022b), states that road links meeting one or more of the following criteria can be defined as being “affected” by a proposed development and should be included in the local air quality assessment, and also the climate assessment:

- Annual average daily traffic (AADT) changes by 1,000 or more;
- Heavy duty vehicle (HDV) AADT changes by 200 or more;
- Daily average speed change by 10 kph or more;
- Peak hour speed change by 20 kph or more;
- A change in road alignment by 5 m or greater.

While the guidance is specific to infrastructure projects, the approach can be applied to any development that causes a change in traffic.

The traffic data provided for the operational phase assessment has included traffic associated with Plot 1 and Plot 2 of the proposed development. While the traffic associated with each individual site in isolation is below the above screening criteria, when assessed in combination with all proposed sites, there is a greater than 1000 AADT increase on a small number of road links. As a result, a detailed assessment of traffic related carbon dioxide equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e) emissions was conducted. Additionally, traffic associated with other cumulative developments in the vicinity of the proposed development was included in the figures supplied to ensure a full cumulative assessment was conducted. See Traffic and Transport Assessment and Chapter 14 (Material Assets – Transportation) for further details.

The traffic related emissions were calculated through the use of the TII REM tool (TII, 2024b) which includes detailed fleet predictions for age, fuel technology, engine size and weight based on available national forecasts. The *Default* fleet mix option was selected along with the *Intermediate Case* fleet data base selection for cars, the *ICE Sales Ban 2035* fleet data base for LGVs and the *EU Target* for the HGV fleet data base, as per TII Guidance (TII, 2024b). The Intermediate Case assumes a linear interpolation between the Business as Usual case – where current trends in vehicle ownership continue and the Climate Action Plan (CAP) case – where adoption of low emission light duty vehicles occurs. The ICE Sales Ban 2035 represents a sales ban on new combustion engine vehicles to be implemented by 2035 and the EU Target for HGV represents interim targets for emissions from sales of new HGVs.

The output is provided in terms of CO<sub>2</sub>e for the Opening Year 2030, and Design Year 2045. Both the Do Nothing (i.e. assuming the proposed development is not in place in future years) and Do Something (i.e. assuming the proposed development is constructed) scenarios are quantified in order to determine the degree of change in emissions as a result of the proposed development. Traffic data was obtained from the traffic consultant on the project for the purpose of this assessment. Inputs include light duty vehicle (LDV) annual average daily traffic movements (AADT), annual average daily heavy-duty vehicles (HDV AADT), annual average traffic speeds, road link lengths, road type, and project county location. The traffic data used in the operational phase modelling assessment is detailed in Table 10.3.

**Table 10.3 Traffic Data used in Operational Phase GHG Assessment**

Road Name	Speed (kph)	Opening Year		Design Year	
		Do Nothing	Do Something	Do Nothing	Do Something
		LDV AADT (HDV AADT)	LDV AADT (HDV AADT)	LDV AADT (HDV AADT)	LDV AADT (HDV AADT)
L3035 East of site access	50	5711 (19)	7502 (26)	10827 (37)	12397 (42)

Road Name	Speed (kph)	Opening Year		Design Year	
		Do Nothing	Do Something	Do Nothing	Do Something
		LDV AADT (HDV AADT)	LDV AADT (HDV AADT)	LDV AADT (HDV AADT)	LDV AADT (HDV AADT)
L3035 West of site access	50	3575 (12)	6826 (23)	10139 (34)	11693 (39)

#### 10.2.2.2.2 Operational Phase Energy Use

The EU Guidance (European Commission, 2013) also states that indirect GHG emissions as a result of a development must be considered, which include emissions associated with energy usage. An Energy Statement was prepared by Waterman Moylan Consulting Engineers in relation to the proposed development. This document is submitted separately with this planning application. The report outlines a number of measures which have been incorporated into the overall design of the development, which will have the benefit of reducing the impact to climate where possible during operation.

#### 10.2.2.3 Significance Criteria for GHGA

The Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) guidance document entitled *PE-ENV-01104 Climate Guidance for National Roads, Light Rail and Rural Cycleways (Offline & Greenways) – Overarching Technical Document* (TII, 2022a) outlines a recommended approach for determining the significance of both the construction and operational phases of a development.

The significance of GHG effects set out in PE-ENV-01104 (TII, 2022a) is based on IEMA guidance (IEMA, 2022), which is consistent with the terminology contained within Figure 3.4 of the EPA Guidelines (EPA, 2022).

The 2022 IEMA Guidance (IEMA, 2022) sets out the following principles for significance:

- When evaluating significance, all new GHG emissions contribute to a negative environmental impact; however, some projects will replace existing development or baseline activity that has a higher GHG profile. The significance of a project's emissions should therefore be based on its net impact over its lifetime, which may be positive, negative or negligible.
- Where GHG emissions cannot be avoided, the goal of the EIA process should be to reduce the project's residual emissions at all stages.
- Where GHG emissions remain significant, but cannot be further reduced, approaches to compensate the project's remaining emissions should be considered.

Determining the significance of effects is a two-stage process that involves defining the magnitude of the impacts and the sensitivity of the receptors (i.e. Ireland's National GHG targets or National Climate Objective). In relation to climate, there is no project specific assessment criteria, but the project will be assessed against the recommended TII significance determination. This takes account of any embedded or committed mitigation measures that form part of the design which should be considered.

TII (TII 2022a) states that professional judgement must be taken into account when contextualising and assessing the significance of a project's GHG impact. In line with IEMA Guidance (IEMA, 2022), TII state that the crux of assessing significance is:

*"not whether a project emits GHG emissions, nor even the magnitude of GHG emissions alone, but whether it contributes to reducing GHG emissions relative to a comparable baseline consistent with a trajectory towards net zero by 2050".*

Significance is determined using the criteria outlined in Table 10.4 (derived from Table 6.7 of PE-ENV-01104 (TII 2022a)) along with consideration of the following two factors:

- The extent to which the trajectory of GHG emissions from the project aligns with Ireland's GHG trajectory to net zero by 2050; and



- The level of mitigation taking place.

**Table 10.4 Significance Criteria for GHGA**

Effects	Significance Level	Description
Significant adverse	Major adverse	The project's GHG impacts are not mitigated; The project has not complied with do-minimum standards set through regulation, nor provided reductions required by local or national policies; and No meaningful absolute contribution to Ireland's trajectory towards net zero.
	Moderate adverse	The project's GHG impacts are partially mitigated; The project has partially complied with do-minimum standards set through regulation, and has not fully complied with local or national policies; and Falls short of full contribution to Ireland's trajectory towards net zero.
Not significant	Minor adverse	The project's GHG impacts are mitigated through "good practice" measures. The project has complied with existing and emerging policy requirements; and Fully in line to achieve Ireland's trajectory towards net zero.
	Negligible	The project's GHG impacts are mitigated beyond design standards. The project has gone well beyond existing and emerging policy requirements; and Well "ahead of the curve" for Ireland's trajectory towards net zero.
Beneficial	Beneficial	The project's net GHG impacts are below zero and it causes a reduction in atmosphere GHG concentration. The project has gone well beyond existing and emerging policy requirements; and Well "ahead of the curve" for Ireland's trajectory towards net zero, provides a positive climate impact.

Ireland's carbon budgets can also be used to contextualise the magnitude of GHG emissions from the proposed development (TII, 2022a). The approach is based on comparing the net proposed development GHG emissions to the relevant carbon budgets (DECC, 2023a). With the publication of the Climate Action Act in 2021 and the Climate Action Plan 2024, sectoral carbon budgets have been published for comparison with the net GHG emissions from the proposed development over its lifespan. The aim of the carbon budgets is to ensure we are on a trajectory to meet the National Climate Objective of Net Zero by 2050.

### 10.2.3 Climate Change Risk Assessment

The Climate Change Risk Assessment (CCRA) involves determining the vulnerability of the proposed development to climate change. This requires an analysis of the sensitivity and exposure of the development to climate hazards which together provide a measure of vulnerability.

PE-ENV-01104 (TII, 2022a) states that the CCRA is guided by the principles set out in the overarching best practice guidance documents:

- Technical Guidance on the Climate Proofing of Infrastructure in the Period 2021-2027 (European Commission, 2021a); and
- The Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to: Climate Change Resilience and Adaptation (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition) (IEMA, 2020).

The baseline environment information provided in Section 10.3, future climate change modelling, and input from other experts working on the proposed development (i.e. hydrologists) should be used to assess the likelihood of a climate risk.

First, an initial screening CCRA based on the operational phase is carried out, according to the TII guidance PE-ENV-01104. This is carried out by determining the sensitivity of proposed development assets (i.e. receptors) and their exposure to climate change hazards.

The proposed development asset categories must be assigned a level of sensitivity to climate hazards. PE-ENV-01104 (TII, 2022a) provides the list of asset categories and climate hazards to be considered. The asset categories will vary for development type and need to be determined on a development by development basis.

- **Asset Categories** Pavements; drainage; structures; utilities; landscaping; signs; light posts; buildings; and fences.
- **Climate Hazards** Flooding (coastal, pluvial, fluvial); extreme heat; extreme cold; wildfire; drought; extreme wind; lightning and hail; landslides; fog.

The sensitivity is based on a High, Medium or Low rating with a score of 1 to 3 assigned as per the criteria below.

- **High Sensitivity** The climate hazard will or is likely to have a major impact on the asset category. This is a sensitivity score of 3.
- **Medium Sensitivity** It is possible or likely the climate hazard will have a moderate impact on the asset category. This is a sensitivity score of 2.
- **Low Sensitivity** It is possible the climate hazard will have a low or negligible impact on the asset category. This is a sensitivity score of 1.

Once the sensitivities have been identified the exposure analysis is undertaken. The exposure analysis involves determining the level of exposure of each climate hazard at the project location irrespective of the project type. For example, flooding could be a risk if the project location is next to a river in a floodplain. Exposure is assigned a level of High, Medium or Low as per the below criteria.

- **High Exposure** It is almost certain or likely this climate hazard will occur at the project location, i.e. might arise once to several times per year. This is an exposure score of 3.
- **Medium Exposure** It is possible this climate hazard will occur at the project location, i.e. might arise a number of times in a decade. This is an exposure score of 2.
- **Low Exposure** It is unlikely or rare this climate hazard will occur at the project location, i.e. might arise a number of times in a generation or in a lifetime. This is an exposure score of 1.

Once the sensitivity and exposure are categorised, a vulnerability analysis is conducted by multiplying the sensitivity and exposure to calculate the vulnerability.

#### 10.2.3.1 Significance Criteria for CCRA

The CCRA involves an initial screening assessment to determine the vulnerability of the proposed development to various climate hazards. The vulnerability is determined by combining the sensitivity and the exposure of the proposed development to various climate hazards.

$$\text{Vulnerability} = \text{Sensitivity} \times \text{Exposure}$$

The vulnerability assessment takes any proposed mitigation into account. Table 10.5 details the vulnerability matrix; vulnerabilities are scored on a high, medium and low scale.

TII guidance (TII, 2022a) and the EU technical guidance (European Commission, 2021a) note that if all vulnerabilities are ranked as low in a justified manner, no detailed climate risk assessment may be needed. Therefore, the impact from climate change on the proposed development can be considered to be not significant.

However, where residual medium or high vulnerabilities exist the assessment may need to be progressed to a detailed climate change risk assessment and further mitigation implemented to reduce risks.

**Table 10.5 Vulnerability Matrix**

		Exposure		
		High (3)	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Sensitivity	High (3)	9 - High	6 - High	3 - Medium
	Medium (2)	6 - High	4 - Medium	2 - Low
	Low (1)	3 - Medium	2 - Low	1 - Low

### 10.3 RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT

The receiving environment in terms of climate is the same for each of the individual sites, Plot 1 and Plot 2, of the proposed development. Therefore, the following sections detail the existing climate environment and do not differentiate between the overall cumulative development or the individual sites of the proposed development.

PE-ENV-01104 (TII, 2022a) states that a baseline climate scenario should identify GHG emissions without the project for both the current and future baseline, consistent with the study area for the project. Climate impacts are assessed at a national level and in relation to national targets and sectoral emission ceilings. The study area for climate is the Republic of Ireland and the baseline is determined in relation to this study area.

Ireland declared a climate and biodiversity emergency in May 2019 and in November 2019 there was European Parliament approval of a resolution declaring a climate and environment emergency in Europe. This, in addition to Ireland's current failure to meet its EU binding targets under Regulation 2018/842 (European Union, 2018) results in changes in GHG emissions either beneficial or adverse being of more significance than previously considered prior to these declarations.

#### 10.3.1 Current GHG Baseline

Data published in July 2024 (EPA, 2024), indicates that Ireland exceeded, without the use of flexibilities, its 2023 annual limit set under EU's Effort Sharing Decision (ESD) (EU 2018/842) by 2.27 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e. However, the 2023 was the first time that Ireland's emissions were below (-1.2%) 1990 levels. ETS (Emissions Trading Scheme) emissions decreased (-17.0%) and ESR (Effort Sharing Regulation) emissions decreased (-3.4%). Ireland's target is an emission reduction of 626 kt of CO<sub>2</sub>e by 2030, on an average baseline of 2016 to 2018. The EPA estimate that 2023 total national GHG emissions, excluding Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry (LULUCF), have decreased by 6.8% on 2022 levels to 55.01 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e, with a 2.2 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e (-21.6%) reduction in electricity industries alone. This was driven by a 40.7% share of energy from renewables in 2023 and by increasing our imported electricity. Manufacturing combustion and industrial processes decreased by 5.1% to 6.3 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2023 due to declines in fossil fuel usage. The sector with the highest emissions in 2023 was agriculture at 37.6% of the total, followed by transport at 21.4%. For 2023, total national emissions (including LULUCF) were 60.62 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e (EPA, 2024), as shown in Table 10.6.

The provisional 2023 figures indicate that Ireland has used 63.9% of the 295 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e Carbon Budget for the five-year period 2021-2025.

**Table 10.6 Trends in Total National GHG Emissions 2021 – 2023**

Sector <i>Note 1</i>	2021	2022	2023	Total Budget (Mt CO <sub>2</sub> e) (2021-2025)	% Budget 2021-2025 Used	Annual Change 2022 to 2023
Electricity	9.893	9.694	7.558	40.0	67.9%	-22.0%
Transport	11.089	11.760	11.791	54.0	64.1%	0.3%
Buildings (Residential)	6.868	5.753	5.346	29.0	62.0%	-7.1%
Buildings (Commercial and Public)	1.444	1.447	1.409	7.0	61.4%	-2.6%
Industry	7.093	6.622	6.288	30.0	66.7%	-5.0%
Agriculture	21.940	21.795	20.782	106.0	60.9%	-4.6%
Other <i>Note 2</i>	1.864	1.931	1.832	9.0	62.5%	-5.1%
LULUCF	4.628	3.983	5.614	–	–	40.9%
Total including LULUCF	64.819	62.986	60.620	295.0	63.9%	-3.8%

*Note 1* Reproduced from latest emissions data on the EPA website July 2024 (EPA, 2024).

*Note 2* Other includes Petroleum refining, F-Gases and Waste (emissions from solid waste disposal on land, solid waste treatment (composting and anaerobic digestion), wastewater treatment, waste incineration and open burning of waste).

### 10.3.2 Future GHG Baseline

The future baseline with respect to the GHGA can be considered in relation to the future climate targets which the assessment results will be compared against. In line with TII (TII, 2022a) and IEMA Guidance (IEMA, 2022), the future baseline is a trajectory towards net zero by 2050, “*whether it [the project] contributes to reducing GHG emissions relative to a comparable baseline consistent with a trajectory towards net zero by 2050*”.

The future baseline will be determined by Ireland meeting its targets set out in the CAP25, and future CAPs, alongside binding 2030 EU targets. The European Union (EU) enacted *Regulation (EU) 2018/842 on binding annual GHG emission reductions by Member States from 2021 to 2030 contributing to climate action to meet commitments under the Paris Agreement and amending Regulation (EU) No. 525/2013* (hereafter referred to as the Regulation) (European Union, 2018) to meet the commitments under the Paris Agreement. The Regulation aims to deliver, collectively by the EU in the most cost-effective manner possible, reductions in GHG emissions from the Emission Trading Scheme (ETS) and non-ETS sectors amounting to 43% and 30%, respectively, by 2030 compared to 2005. The Regulation was amended in April 2023 and Ireland must now limit its greenhouse gas emissions by at least 42% by 2030. The ETS is an EU-wide scheme which regulates the GHG emissions of larger industrial emitters including electricity generation, cement manufacturing and heavy industry. The non-ETS sector includes all domestic GHG emitters which do not fall under the ETS scheme and includes GHG emissions from transport, residential and commercial buildings and agriculture.


In June 2024, the EPA released the report *Ireland’s Greenhouse Gas Emissions Projections 2023-2050* (EPA, 2024d), which includes total projected emissions and a breakdown of projected emissions per sector under the “With Existing Measures” and “With Additional Measures” scenarios. The EPA projections indicate that under the “With Existing Measures” scenario, Ireland will achieve a reduction of 11% on 2018 levels by 2030. A reduction of 29% by 2030 can be achieved under the “With Additional Measures” scenario, which is still short of the 42% reduction target set out in the carbon budgets.

### 10.3.3 Current CCRA Baseline

The region of the proposed development has a temperate, oceanic climate, resulting in mild winters and cool summers. The Met Éireann weather station at Dublin Airport is the nearest, representative, weather and climate monitoring station to the proposed development with meteorological data

recorded for the 30-year period from 1991 to 2020. The historical regional weather data for Dublin Airport meteorological station is representative of the current climate in the region of the proposed development. The data for the 30-year period from 1991 to 2020 indicates that the wettest months at Dublin Airport meteorological station were November and December, and the driest month on average was June (Met Éireann, 2023a). July was the warmest month with a mean temperature of 15.4 Celsius. January was the coldest month with a mean temperature of 5.2 Celsius.

Met Éireann's 2023 Climate Statement (Met Éireann, 2024b) states 2023's average shaded air temperature in Ireland is provisionally 11.20°C, which is 1.65°C above the 1961-1990 long-term average. Previous to this 2022 was the warmest year on record; however, 2023 was 0.38°C warmer (see Figure 10.1).



**Figure 10.1 1900-2023 Temperature (°C) Temperature Anomalies (differences from 1961-1990)**

2023 also had above average rainfall; this included the warmest June on record and the wettest March and July on record. Record high sea surface temperatures (SST) were recorded since April 2023, which included a severe marine heatwave to the west of Ireland during June 2023. This marine heatwave contributed to the record rainfall in July.

Met Éireann's 2024 *Climate Statement* (Met Éireann 2025) states that 2024's average shaded air temperature in Ireland is provisionally 10.72 °C, which is 1.17°C above the 1961-1990 long-term average or 0.55°C above the most recent 1991-2020 long-term average. This is the 4<sup>th</sup> warmest year on record with 2023 breaking previous records. Seven of the top ten warmest years have occurred since 2005. Record high sea surface temperatures (SST) were recorded in 2022, and in 2024 continued at or near record high levels. 2024 was overall drier than average; however there were many instances of heavy or intense rainfall which led to flooding events. This trend is predicted to continue with climate change with an increase in both dry periods and heavy rainfall events. Considering the extraordinary data, Met Éireann states that the latest Irish climate change projections indicate further warming in the future, including warmer winters. The record temperatures mean the likelihood of extreme weather events occurring has increased. This will result in longer dry periods and heavy rainfall events. Storm surges and coastal flooding will occur due to sea level rise. Compound events, where coastal surges and extreme rainfall events occur simultaneously, will also increase. Met Éireann has high confidence in maximum rainfall rates increasing but not in how the frequency or intensity of storms will change with climate change.

### 10.3.4 Future CCRA Baseline

Impacts as a result of climate change will evolve with a changing future baseline. Changes have the potential to include increases in global temperatures and increases in the number of rainfall days per


year. Therefore, it is expected that the baseline climate will evolve over time and consideration is needed with respect to this within the design of the proposed development.

Ireland has seen increases in annual rainfall in the north and west of the country, with small increases or decreases in the south and east, including in the region where the proposed development will be located (EPA, 2021b). The EPA have compiled a list of potential adverse impacts as a result of climate change, including the following which may be of relevance to the proposed development (EPA, 2021b):

- More intense storms and rainfall events;
- Increased likelihood and magnitude of river and coastal flooding;
- Water shortages in summer in the east;
- Adverse impacts on water quality; and
- Changes in distribution of plant and animal species.

TII's Guidance document PE-ENV-01104 (TII 2022a) states that for future climate change a moderate to high Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) should be adopted. RCP4.5 is considered moderate, while RCP8.5 is considered high. Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) describe different 21st century pathways of GHG emissions depending on the level of climate mitigation action undertaken.

The National Framework for Climate Services (NFCS) was founded in June 2022 to streamline the provision of climate services in Ireland and will be led by Met Éireann. The aim of the NFCS is to enable the co-production, delivery and use of accurate, actionable and accessible climate information and tools to support climate resilience planning and decision making. In addition to the NFCS, further work has been ongoing into climate projects in Ireland through research under the TRANSLATE project. TRANSLATE (Met Éireann, 2023b) has been led by climate researchers from University of Galway – Irish Centre for High End Computing (ICHEC), and University College Cork – SFI Research Centre for Energy, Climate and Marine (MaREI), supported by Met Éireann climatologists. TRANSLATE's outputs are produced using a selection of internationally reviewed and accepted models from both CORDEX and CMIP5. Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) provide a broad range of possible futures based on assumptions of human activity. The modelled scenarios include for “least” (RCP2.6), “more” (RCP4.5) or “most” (RCP8.5) climate change, see Figure 10.2.




Source: TRANSLATE project storymap (Met Éireann 2023)

**Figure 10.2 Representative Concentration Pathways associated emission levels**

TRANSLATE (Met Éireann, 2023b) provides the first standardised and bias-corrected national climate projections for Ireland to aid climate risk decision making across multiple sectors (for example, transport, energy, water), by providing information on how Ireland's climate could change as global temperatures increase to 1.5°C, 2°C, 2.5°C, 3°C, or 4°C. Projections broadly agree with previous projections for Ireland. Ireland's climate is dominated by the Atlantic Meridional Overturning

Circulation (AMOC), a large system of ocean currents – including the Gulf Stream – characterised by a northward flow of warm water and a southward flow of cold water. Due to the AMOC, Ireland does not suffer from the extremes of temperature experienced by other countries at a similar latitude. Recent studies have projected that the AMOC could decline by 30 – 40 % by 2100, resulting in cooler North Atlantic Sea surface temperatures (SSTs) (Met Éireann, 2023b). Met Éireann projects that Ireland will nevertheless continue to warm, although the AMOC cooling influence may lead to reduced warming compared with continental Europe. AMOC weakening is also expected to lead to additional sea level rise around Ireland. With climate change Ireland's temperature and rainfall will undergo more and more significant changes e.g. on average summer temperature could increase by more than 2°C, summer rainfall could decrease by 9% while winter rainfall could increase by 24% (See Figure 10.3). Future projects also include a 10-fold increase in the frequency of summer nights (values > 15°C) by the end of the century, a decrease in the frequency of cold winter nights and an increase in the number of heatwaves. A heatwave in Ireland is defined as a period of 5 consecutive days where the daily maximum temperature is greater than 25°C.



Source: TRANSLATE project storymap (Met Éireann, 2023b)

**Figure 10.3 Change of climate variables for Ireland for different global warming thresholds**

The TRANSLATE research report (Met Éireann 2024d) finds that night-time temperatures will warm more than day-time temperatures, with temperature increases across all seasons but the highest in the summer (with an increase of 0.5°C to 3.5°C). Autumn is projected to have the highest increase in average minimum temperatures (with an increase of 1.1°C to 4.4°C). The variance is dependent on the scenario that is being reviewed. While these temperatures are projected across all of Ireland, they increase most in the east of the country compared to the west. With respect to rainfall, increases of 4% to 38% are projected, however this will not be spread across the year as during summer months there are projected decreases in rainfall beyond the 2°C warming scenario.

In January 2024, the EPA published *Ireland's Climate Change Assessment Synthesis Report* (EPA, 2024e) which contained four volumes:

- Volume 1: Climate Science: Ireland in a Changing World
- Volume 2: Achieving Climate Neutrality by 2050
- Volume 3: Being Prepared for Ireland's Future Climate
- Volume 4: Realising the Benefits of Transition and Transformation

This report reinforces the existing and future risks arising from climate change. Volume 1 (EPA, 2024e) states that under Early action, the temperature increase averaged across the island of Ireland relative to the recent past (1976 to 2005) would reach 0.91°C (0.44 to 1.10°C) by mid-century before falling back to 0.80°C (0.34 to 1.07°C) at the end of the century. Whereas under Late action, by the end of the century it is projected that the temperature increases could be 2.77°C (2.02 to 3.49°C). Heat

extremes will become more frequent and more severe and cold extremes will become less frequent and less severe with further warming.

Precipitation was 7% higher over the period 1991 to 2020 than over the 1961 to 1990 period. The average future predicted increase in precipitation is <10% in annual mean accumulated. By 2100 projected additional rises in sea level range from 0.32 to 0.6m under early action to 0.63 to 1.01m under late action scenarios, with greater storm surges potentially affecting critical infrastructure along the coastline. Projections of changes in storminess are highly uncertain and translate into large uncertainties in future frequency and intensity of extreme waves.

Volume 3 (EPA, 2024e) discusses how water supplies will face growing pressures resulting in increased water demand and how options need to be developed, including potential new sources. The report states the key role of critical infrastructure for delivering public services, economic development and a sustainable environment. These are exposed to a range of climate extremes. Failures in critical infrastructure can cascade across other sectors and present a multi-sector risk due to climate change.

The report references the EPA's *Critical Infrastructure Vulnerability to Climate Change* report (EPA, 2021a) as the most substantial research project in Ireland to date on climate change and critical infrastructure, which assesses the future performance of Ireland's critical infrastructure when climate is considered. The Critical Infrastructure Vulnerability to Climate Change report states with respect to water availability and quality, that flood risk and heatwaves have a medium vulnerability index and the underground supply network has a high vulnerability to snowstorms and cold spells. However, while the vulnerability is high, the exposure is likely to reduce due to future climate change resulting in less cold weather events. The risk assessment highlights the co-dependence of the water sector and the energy sector, and how vulnerability in the energy sector may have cascading impacts.

Volume 4 (EPA, 2024e) calls for system change, including a transformation of urban settings, stating that meaningful urban transformation can create a better living environment while simultaneously reducing emissions.

The projections were echoed by the *Updated High-resolution Climate Projections for Ireland Research Report: 471* (EPA, 2024f), which was in broad agreement with previous research. The future autumn and winter months are projected to be up to 10% wetter, while summer is projected to be up to 8% drier.

## 10.4 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development comprises 2 sites, Plot 1 and Plot 2, located in the townlands of Kellystown and Porterstown respectively. Each site will consist of a mix of residential units and associated site works. A full description of the development is available in Chapter 3 (Description of the Proposed Development).

### 10.4.1.1 Construction Stage

During the construction stage the main source of climate impacts will be as a result of GHG emissions and embodied carbon associated with the proposed construction materials and activities for the proposed development.

### 10.4.1.2 Operational Stage

During the operational phase vehicle emissions from traffic accessing the site have the potential to release CO<sub>2</sub> and other GHGs which will impact climate. In addition, operational energy use will result in GHG emissions. The vulnerability of the proposed development in relation to future climate change must also be considered during the operational phase.



## 10.5 POTENTIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 10.5.1 Proposed Development – Greenhouse Gas Assessment

#### 10.5.1.1 Construction Phase

The most significant proportion of GHG emissions tends to occur during the construction phase as a result of embodied carbon in construction materials and emissions from construction activities. Therefore, the assessment has been included in the construction phase assessment for the purposes of the EIAR. The assessment is broken down into the following stages as per Section 10.2.2.1:

- Product stage (A1 – A3);
- Transportation to site (A4);
- Site operations (construction activities) (A5); and
- Material replacement and refurbishment (B4 – B5).

The construction phase GHG emissions comprise stages A1 – A5, which include the construction materials, the transport of the materials to site, and the construction activities or site operations. Ongoing material refurbishment and replacement throughout the lifetime of the development is included within category B4 – B5; these are default values based on the typical maintenance requirements for the chosen material types over the assumed 60 year lifetime.

The carbon assessment highlights the areas where the highest embodied carbon emissions occur, specifically as a result of building materials. Where material types were not known, as these will not be selected until detailed design stage, the standard default material type was used within the Carbon Designer for Ireland tool. Additionally, where the specific material type was not available within the tool, as the tool does not currently contain all possible material types, a conservative alternative material type was chosen which allowed for a best representation of the embodied carbon associated with the material.

The below sections detail the results of the GHGA for each of the proposed development sites (Plot 1 and Plot 2) based on the Carbon Designer for Ireland Tool.

The GHG emissions from the development as a total cannot be compared against one specific sector 2030 carbon budget. The emissions are broken down into different assessment categories and these must be compared separately to the relevant sectoral emissions budgets, which are detailed in Table 10.7, Table 10.8, and Table 10.9. The relevant sectoral emissions for the proposed development comparison include the Industry sector, Transport sector, Electricity sector, and Waste sector. The predicted emissions for the proposed development are annualised over the assumed 60-year lifespan and then compared to the relevant sector 2030 carbon budgets. Annualising the full carbon emissions over the lifetime of the development allows for appropriate comparison with annual GHG targets.

##### 10.5.1.1.1 Plot 1 (Luttrellstown Gate Phase 2)

The results of the GHGA for Plot 1 are shown in Table 10.7. Construction materials make up the majority of GHG emissions for the proposed development, accounting for approximately 79% of the total construction phase GHG emissions. Material replacement makes up the second highest contribution at 12% of the total. Material transport and construction activities and waste make up the remainder of the construction GHG emissions.

Efforts to reduce the quantity of the materials used or swap them for lower carbon alternatives will impact on the carbon footprint of the units. The houses will be built from timber frames and it is important to highlight that although the timber framework forms a significant part of the structural skeleton of the house units; it is not as carbon intensive as other materials. Both the Climate Change Advisory Council (CCAC) and National Climate Action Plan advise for the use of timber framed buildings as a construction method. Timber frames have the additional benefit of having absorbed carbon from the atmosphere during their growth and providing a long-term carbon sink during their lifetime in the building.

It has been calculated that the total construction phase embodied carbon (including maintenance and replacement of materials over the development lifetime) for Plot 1 will be 9,263 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e (see Table 10.7).

**Table 10.7 GHG Assessment Results – Plot 1**

Stage	GHG Assessment Category	Predicted GHG Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Predicted GHG Emissions as % of Project Total	Relevant Sector for Carbon Budget Comparison
A1-A3	Materials – construction materials, concrete, steel, glazing, timber, etc.	7,340	79%	Industry
A4	Material Transport	144	2%	Transport
A5	Construction site material waste	404	4%	Waste
	Construction site material waste transport	6	0.07%	Transport
	Construction site waste	20	0.22%	Waste
B4 - B5	Maintenance Material	1,076	12%	Industry
	Maintenance Material Transport	2	0.02%	Transport
	Maintenance Material Waste	271	3%	Waste
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9,263</b>		

#### 10.5.1.1.2 Plot 2 (St Mochtas LRD)

The results of the GHGA for Plot 2 are shown in Table 10.8. Construction materials make up the majority of GHG emissions for the proposed development, accounting for approximately 72% of the total construction phase GHG emissions. Material replacement makes up the second highest contribution at 19% of the total. Material transport and construction activities and waste make up the remainder of the construction GHG emissions.

Efforts to reduce the quantity of the materials used or swap them for lower carbon alternatives will impact on the carbon footprint of the units. The houses will be built from timber frames and it is important to highlight that although the timber framework forms a significant part of the structural skeleton of the house units; it is not as carbon intensive as other materials. Both the Climate Change Advisory Council (CCAC) and National Climate Action Plan advise for the use of timber framed buildings as a construction method. Timber frames have the additional benefit of having absorbed carbon from the atmosphere during their growth and providing a long-term carbon sink during their lifetime in the building.

It has been calculated that the total construction phase embodied carbon (including maintenance and replacement of materials over the development lifetime) for Plot 2 will be 22,119 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e (see Table 10.8).


**Table 10.8 GHG Assessment Results – Plot 2**

Stage	GHG Assessment Category	Predicted GHG Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Predicted GHG Emissions as % of Project Total	Relevant Sector for Carbon Budget Comparison
A1-A3	Materials – construction materials, concrete, steel, glazing, timber, etc.	15,859	72%	Industry
A4	Material Transport	278	1%	Transport
A5	Construction site material waste	879	4%	Waste

Stage	GHG Assessment Category	Predicted GHG Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Predicted GHG Emissions as % of Project Total	Relevant Sector for Carbon Budget Comparison
	Construction site material waste transport	12	0.1%	Transport
	Construction site waste	43	0.2%	Waste
B4 - B5	Maintenance Material	4,254	19%	Industry
	Maintenance Material Transport	6	0.03%	Transport
	Maintenance Material Waste	789	4%	Waste
	<b>Total</b>	<b>22,119</b>		

#### 10.5.1.2 Construction Phase GHGA Summary

Figure 10-4 shows the GHG emissions for the proposed development (including Plot 1 and Plot 2) per life-cycle stage based on the output from the Carbon Designer for Ireland tool.



**Figure 10-4 Embodied Carbon by Life-Cycle Stage**

The total predicted GHG emissions (as shown in Table 10.7 and Table 10.8) can be averaged over the full lifespan of the proposed development to give the predicted annual emissions to allow for direct comparison with national annual emissions and targets.

In Table 10.9, GHG emissions have been compared against the carbon budget for the industry, transport, electricity and waste sectors in 2030 (DECC, 2024), against Ireland's total GHG emissions in 2023, and against Ireland's EU 2030 target of a 42% reduction in non-ETS sector emissions based on 2005 levels (27.7 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e) (set out in Regulation EU 2018/842).

The estimated total GHG emissions, when annualised over the 60-year proposed development lifespan, are equivalent to 0.0009% of Ireland's total GHG emissions in 2023 and 0.002% of Ireland's non-ETS 2030 emissions target. The estimated GHG emissions associated with transport-related activities are 0.0001% of the 2030 Transport budget, construction waste GHG emissions are 0.2% of the Waste budget, industry-related activities are 0.01% of the 2030 Industry budget. Table 10.9 Estimated GHG Emissions Relative to Sectoral Budgets and GHG Baseline

Target/Sectoral Budget (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)		Annualised Development GHG Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)		% of Relevant Target/Budget
Ireland's 2023 Total GHG Emissions (existing baseline)	60,620,000	523	Total GHG Emissions	0.0009%
Non-ETS 2030 Target	27,722,000	523	Total GHG Emissions	0.002%
2030 Sectoral Budget (Industry Sector)	4,000,000	475	Total Industry Emissions	0.01%
2030 Sectoral Budget (Transport Sector)	6,000,000	7	Total Transport Emissions	0.0001%
2030 Sectoral Budget (Waste Sector)	1,000,000	2,405	Total Waste Emissions	0.2%

### 10.5.1.3 Operational Phase

#### 10.5.1.3.1 Operational Energy Usage

The proposed development has been designed to reduce the impact to climate where possible. A number of measures have been incorporated into the design to ensure the operational phase emissions are minimised. The primary elements with respect to reducing climate impacts and optimising energy usage are summarised in Section 10.6.1.2 and are based on information provided within the Energy Statement prepared in relation to the proposed development.

#### 10.5.1.3.2 Operational Traffic Emissions

There is the potential for increased traffic volumes to impact climate during the operational phase. To provide for a worst-case assessment and to assess potential cumulative impacts, the traffic data has included traffic associated with Plot 1 and Plot 2 of the proposed development as well as specific cumulative developments within the area (see Chapter 14 (Material Assets – Transportation) and Traffic & Transportation Assessment for further details).

The predicted concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>e for the future years of 2030 and 2045 are detailed in Table 10.10. These are significantly less than Ireland's national 2030 targets set out under EU legislation (targets beyond 2030 are not available) and the 2030 sectoral emissions ceilings. It is predicted that in 2030 the proposed development will increase CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 18 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e. This equates to 0.0001% of the 2030 national emission ceiling or 0.0003% of the 2030 Transport sector emissions ceiling (see Table 10.10). Similarly low increases in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are predicted to occur in 2045, with emissions increasing by 9 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e. This equates to 0.00003% of the 2030 national emission ceiling or 0.0001% of the 2030 Transport sector emissions ceiling (see Table 10.10).

In addition, bicycle parking will be provided as part of the proposed development. This will promote the use of more sustainable methods of transport.

**Table 10.10 Traffic Emissions GHG Impact Assessment**

Year	Scenario	CO <sub>2</sub> e
		(tonnes/annum)
2030	Do Nothing	34
	Do Something	52
2045	Do Nothing	60
	Do Something	69
Increment Change in 2030		18
<b>National Emission Ceiling 2030 (Tonnes)</b> <sup>Note 1</sup>		<b>27,722,000</b>
Impact in 2030 (as % of national emissions ceiling)		0.0001%
<b>Transport Sector 2030 Emission Ceiling</b>		<b>6,000,000</b>
Impact in 2030 (as % of transport sector emissions ceiling)		0.0003%
Increment Change in 2045		9
<b>National Emission Ceiling 2030 (Tonnes)</b> <sup>Note 1</sup>		<b>27,722,000</b>
Impact in 2045 (as % of national emissions ceiling)		0.00003%

Impact in 2045 (as % of transport sector emissions ceiling)	0.0001%
---	---------

Note 1 Target under Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/2126 of 16 December 2020 on setting out the annual emission allocations of the Member States for the period from 2021 to 2030 pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/842 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

#### 10.5.1.4 GHGA Significance of Effects

The TII guidance states that the following two factors should be considered when determining significance:

- The extent to which the trajectory of GHG emissions from the project aligns with Ireland's GHG trajectory to net zero by 2050; and
- The level of mitigation taking place.

The level of mitigation described in Section 10.6 has been taken into account when determining the significance of the proposed development's GHG emissions. According to the TII significance criteria described in Section 10.2.2.3 and Table 10.4, the significance of the GHG emissions during the construction and operational phase is minor adverse. The proposed development has mitigated some GHG impacts where possible.

In accordance with the EPA Guidelines (EPA, 2022), the above significance equates to a significance of effect of GHG emissions during the construction and operational phase which is **direct, long-term, negative** and **slight**, which is overall **not significant**.

### 10.5.2 Proposed Development – Climate Change Risk Assessment

#### 10.5.2.1 Construction Stage

A detailed CCRA of the construction phase has been scoped out on the basis that there are no residual medium or high-risk vulnerabilities to climate change hazards. However, consideration has been given to the proposed development's vulnerability to the following climate change hazards, with best practice mitigation measures proposed in Section 10.6.1.1:

- Flood Risk due to increased precipitation, and intense periods of rainfall. This includes fluvial and pluvial flooding;
- Increased temperatures potentially causing drought, wildfires and prolonged periods of hot weather;
- Reduced temperatures resulting in ice or snow; and
- Major Storm Damage including wind damage.

#### 10.5.2.2 Operational Phase

The sensitivity and exposure of the development to various climate hazards must first be determined to then determine the vulnerability of the proposed development to climate change. Flooding (coastal, pluvial, fluvial), extreme heat, extreme cold, wildfire, drought, extreme wind, lightning, hail, landslides and fog have been considered as climate hazards in the context of the proposed development.

The sensitivity of the proposed development to the climate hazards is assessed irrespective of the project location. Table 10.11 details the sensitivity of the proposed development on a scale of high (3), medium (2) and low (1). Once the sensitivity has been established the exposure of the proposed development to each of the climate hazards is determined, this is the likelihood of the climate hazard occurring at the project location and is also scored on a scale of high (3), medium (2) and low (1). The product of the sensitivity and exposure is then used to determine the overall vulnerability of the proposed development to each of the climate hazards as per Table 10.5. The results of the vulnerability assessment are detailed in Table 10.11.

As the proposed development Plot 1 and Plot 2 sites are located in close proximity to one another, a separate vulnerability assessment for each site was not deemed necessary as each site has similar vulnerabilities.

**Table 10.11 Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment**

Climate Hazard	Sensitivity	Exposure	Vulnerability
Flooding (Coastal, Pluvial, Fluvial)	1 (Low)	2 (Medium)	2 (Low)
Extreme Heat	1 (Low)	2 (Medium)	2 (Low)
Extreme Cold	1 (Low)	2 (Medium)	2 (Low)
Wildfire	1 (Low)	1 (Low)	1 (Low)
Drought	1 (Low)	1 (Low)	1 (Low)
Extreme Wind	1 (Low)	1 (Low)	1 (Low)
Lightning & Hail	1 (Low)	1 (Low)	1 (Low)
Landslides	1 (Low)	1 (Low)	1 (Low)
Fog	1 (Low)	1 (Low)	1 (Low)

The sensitivity and exposure of the area was determined with reference to a number of online tools and with input from the various discipline specialists on the project team. It was concluded that the proposed development does not have any significant vulnerabilities to the identified climate hazards as described in the below sections. All vulnerabilities are classified as low.

#### Flooding

Increased rainfall in future years as a result of climate change has the potential to result in flooding. A Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for each site, Plot 1 and plot 2, of the proposed development was undertaken by Waterman Moylan consulting Engineers.

In relation to Plot 1, the FRA states that the proposed development was analysed for risks from tidal flooding from the Irish Sea, fluvial flooding from the River Liffey, pluvial flooding, ground water and failures of mechanical systems.

The FRA for Plot 1 concludes that the site is located in Flood Zone C. There is a negligible risk of tidal flooding and fluvial flooding due to the project location. There is a risk of pluvial flooding of the drainage network due to increased rainfall, however, the drainage and attenuation system has been appropriately designed to accommodate increased rainfall in future years. An additional 20% rainfall depth is included in the modelling and design of the proposed sites' surface water drainage systems to allow for future climate change effects on rainfall volume. This additional 20% aligns with the medium RCP4.5 scenario; allowing an additional 30% would align with the high end RCP8.5 scenario. However, the risk remains "low" as the drainage design is considered sufficient to account for future climate change.

The FRA for the Plot 2 of the proposed development indicates that the site is not at risk from tidal flooding from the Irish Sea or fluvial flooding from the River Liffey. As with Plot 1, there is a risk of pluvial flooding of the drainage network due to increased rainfall, however, the drainage and attenuation system has been appropriately designed to accommodate increased rainfall in future years. An additional 20% rainfall depth is included in the modelling and design of the proposed sites' surface water drainage systems to allow for future climate change effects on rainfall volume.

With the mitigation measures implemented, it can be concluded that the flood risk at the Plot 1 and plot 2 locations are mitigated and the risk can be classed as "low".

#### Extreme Wind, Fog, Lightning & Hail

In relation to extreme winds, the structural engineer will design the primary structure based on available wind loading information as described in Eurocode 01 EN1991: Actions on Structures and the buildings shall be designed to the appropriate standards to account for the relevant wind loadings

events for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 for up to 2100. If required as part of the building design, lightning protection shall be provided for. Hail and fog are not predicted to significantly affect the buildings due to their design.

#### Wildfires

In relation to wildfires, the *Think Hazard!* tool developed by the Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery (GFDRR, 2025), indicates that the wildfire hazard is classified as low for the Dublin area. This means that there is between a 4% to 10% chance of experiencing weather that may cause disruptions and low but tangible risk of life and property loss in any given year. Future climate modelling indicates that there could be an increase in the weather conditions which are favourable to fire conditions, these include increases in temperature and prolonged dry periods. However, due to the project location in a built-up, suburban area, the risk of wildfire is significantly lessened and it can be concluded that the proposed development is of low vulnerability to wildfires.

#### Landslides

The Geological Society of Ireland (GSI) landslide susceptibility mapping database (GSI, 2025) was reviewed to determine the risk from landslides at the proposed development. There have not been any historical landslide events in the vicinity of the proposed development and the area is of low susceptibility to future landslides. Therefore, landslides are not a risk for the proposed development site.

#### Extreme Temperatures (Heat & Cold) & Drought

In relation to extreme temperatures, both extreme heat and extreme cold, these have the potential to impact the building materials and some related infrastructure. However, the building materials selected at the detailed design and will be done with consideration of the likely future temperature ranges Ireland will experience under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 up to 2100. Therefore, extreme temperatures are not considered a significant risk.

#### Summary

Overall, the proposed development has at most low vulnerabilities to the identified climate hazards provided detailed design includes for the impact of climate change under both RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 up to 2100. Therefore, no detailed risk assessment is required.

#### 10.5.2.3 CCRA Significance of Effects

With design mitigation in place, there are no significant risks to the proposed development as a result of climate change. In accordance with the EPA Guidelines (EPA, 2022), the significance of effect of the impacts to the proposed development as a result of climate change are **direct, long-term, negative** and **imperceptible**, which is overall **not significant** in EIA terms.

#### 10.5.3 **Cumulative Development**

The cumulative impact of all sites within the proposed development has been considered in the above sections. With respect to the requirement for a cumulative assessment with additional developments the IEMA (IEMA, 2022) and TII (TII, 2022a) guidance on which the assessment is based states that:

*“the identified receptor for the GHG Assessment is the global climate and impacts on the receptor from a project are not geographically constrained, the normal approach for cumulative assessment in EIA is not considered applicable. By presenting the GHG impact of a project in the context of its alignment to Ireland’s trajectory of net zero and any sectoral carbon budgets, this assessment will*

*demonstrate the potential for the project to affect Ireland's ability to meet its national carbon reduction target. This assessment approach is considered to be inherently cumulative".*

The traffic data used for the operational phase assessment included cumulative traffic from existing and permitted developments in the surrounding area, specifically additional residential developments in the Kellystown area, a school and sportsgrounds (see Traffic and Transport Assessment for further information). Therefore, this impact assessment is cumulative.

As per the above, the cumulative impact of the proposed development in relation to GHG emissions is considered **direct, long-term, negative** and **slight**, which is overall **not significant** in EIA terms.

#### 10.5.4 Do-Nothing Impact

In the Do-Nothing scenario, the site will remain as per the baseline and will change in accordance with trends within the wider area (including influences from potential new developments in the surrounding area, changes in road traffic, etc). The Do-Nothing scenario is considered neutral in terms of the climate assessment.

As the site is zoned for development, in the absence of the proposed development it is likely that a development of a similar nature would be constructed in the future in line with national policy and the development plan objectives. Therefore, the construction and operational phase impacts outlined in this assessment are likely to occur in the future even in the absence of the implementation of the proposed development.

### 10.6 MITIGATION MEASURES (AMELIORATIVE, REMEDIAL OR REDUCTIVE MEASURES)

#### 10.6.1 Proposed Development

##### 10.6.1.1 Construction Stage

Embodied carbon of materials and construction activities will be the primary source of climate impacts during the construction phase. During the construction phase the following best practice measures shall be implemented on site to prevent significant GHG emissions and reduce impacts to climate:

- Prevention of on-site or delivery vehicles from leaving engines idling, even over short periods.
- Ensure all plant and machinery are well maintained and inspected regularly.
- Minimising waste of materials due to poor timing or over ordering on site will aid to minimise the embodied carbon footprint of the site. A construction waste management plan will be implemented to minimise construction waste sent to landfills. Recycling of materials will be promoted to and reduce the environmental footprint of the site.
- Sourcing materials locally will be prioritised. This will help to reduce transport related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and helps support local suppliers, further promoting economic sustainability.
- Material choices and quantities will be reviewed during detailed design, to identify and implement any lower embodied carbon options, where feasible. For example a 30% minimum clinker replacement in cement may be utilised in line with the requirements for public bodies.
- The housing units will be built of timber frame. Timber is not as carbon intensive as other materials and is a preferable structural material to traditional concrete blocks. Both the Climate Change Advisory Council (CCAC) and National Climate Action Plan advise for the use of timber framed buildings as a construction method. Timber frames have the additional benefit of having absorbed carbon from the atmosphere during their growth and providing a long-term carbon sink during their lifetime in the building.



In terms of impact on the proposed development due to climate change, during construction the Contractor will be required to mitigate against the effects of extreme rainfall/flooding through site risk assessments and method statements. The Contractor will also be required to mitigate against the effects of extreme wind/storms, temperature extremes through site risk assessments and method statements. All materials used during construction will be accompanied by certified datasheets which will set out the limiting operating temperatures. Temperatures can affect the performance of some materials, and this will require consideration during construction. During construction, the Contractor will be required to mitigate against the effects of fog, lighting and hail through site risk assessments and method statements.

#### 10.6.1.2 Operational Stage

A number of mitigation measures have been incorporated into the design of the development to reduce the impact on climate wherever possible. An Energy Statement was prepared by Waterman Moylan Consulting Engineers in relation to the proposed development.

##### Plot 1 (Luttrellstown Gate Phase 2)

The Energy Statement in relation to Plot 1 states that the development will be a Nearly Zero Energy Building (NZEB) in accordance with the 2021 Part L requirements. The development has been designed to reduce operational energy demand where possible. The following outlines the primary elements included in the development for the residential dwellings based on the Energy Statement prepared by Waterman Moylan.

##### Residential Dwellings:

- The residential units will aim to achieve a Building Energy Ratio (BER) in line with the NZEB and Part L requirements
- Exceed minimum U-Value standards by 20% to 30%
- Achieve air tightness standards of 3 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>/hr
- Ensure thermal bridging details are design to achieve thermal bridging factors of between 0.04 – 0.15 W/m<sup>2</sup>K
- Install centralised mechanical ventilation systems to ensure adequate ventilation rates are achieved in the dwelling which maximising the benefits of the airtight construction
- Install Air Source Heat Pumps to meet NZEB requirement
- Install PV panels for apartments ensuring landlord areas meet renewable contribution requirements

##### Plot 2 (St. Mochtas LRD)

As per the Energy Statement, the development will be a Nearly Zero Energy Building (NZEB) in accordance with the 2021 Part L requirements. The development has been designed to reduce operational energy demand where possible. The following outlines the primary elements included in the development for both the residential dwellings and communal area based on the Energy Statement prepared by Waterman Moylan.

##### Residential Dwellings:

- The residential units will aim to achieve a Building Energy Ratio (BER) in line with the NZEB and Part L requirements
- Exceed minimum U-Value standards by 20% to 30%
- Achieve air tightness standards of 3 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>/hr
- Ensure thermal bridging details are design to achieve thermal bridging factors of between 0.04 – 0.15 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

- Install centralised mechanical ventilation systems to ensure adequate ventilation rates are achieved in the dwelling which maximising the benefits of the airtight construction
- Install Air Source Heat Pumps to meet NZEB requirement
- Install PV panels for apartments ensuring landlord areas meet renewable contribution requirements

#### Communal Area

- Exceed minimum U-Value standards by 20% to 30%,
- Achieve air tightness standards of 5 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>/hr
- Analyse the proposed glazing proportions and orientations and select appropriate solar control glazing and/or shading devices to reduce the solar gain to the spaces to an appropriate level.
- Provide a full central ventilation system with heat recovery devices
- Provide a heat pump to meet Part L renewable contribution requirements

The above measures for Plot 1 and Plot 2 will assist in optimising the energy consumed by the development and will also have the benefit of reducing the impact to climate during the operational phase of the development.

Some measures have been incorporated into the design of the development to mitigate the impacts of future climate change. For example, adequate attenuation and drainage have been incorporated to avoid potential flooding impacts due to increased rainfall events in future years. These measures have been considered when assessing the vulnerability of the proposed development to climate change (see Section 10.5.2).

#### 10.6.2 Cumulative

No specific mitigation is proposed for the cumulative assessment.

### 10.7 RESIDUAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

#### 10.7.1 Proposed Development

The impact to climate as a result of a proposed development must be assessed as a whole for all phases. The proposed development will result in some impacts to climate through the release of GHGs. TII reference the IEMA guidance which states that the crux of assessing significance is “*not whether a project emits GHG emissions, nor even the magnitude of GHG emissions alone, but whether it contributes to reducing GHG emissions relative to a comparable baseline consistent with a trajectory towards net zero by 2050*”. The proposed development has proposed some best practice mitigation measures and is committing to reducing climate impacts where feasible and will continue to investigate further measures during detailed design. As per the assessment criteria in Table 10.4, the residual impact of the proposed development in relation to GHG emissions is considered **direct, long-term, negative** and **slight**, which is overall **not significant** in EIA terms.

In relation to climate change vulnerability, it has been assessed that there are no significant risks to the proposed development as a result of climate change. The residual effect of climate change on the proposed development is considered **direct, long-term, negative** and **imperceptible**, which is overall **not significant** in EIA terms.

#### 10.7.2 Cumulative

With respect to the requirement for a cumulative assessment the IEMA (IEMA, 2022) and TII (TII, 2022a) guidance on which the assessment is based states that:

*“the identified receptor for the GHG Assessment is the global climate and impacts on the receptor from a project are not geographically constrained, the normal approach for cumulative assessment in EIA is not considered applicable. By presenting the GHG impact of a project in the context of its alignment to Ireland’s trajectory of net zero and any sectoral carbon budgets, this assessment will demonstrate the potential for the project to affect Ireland’s ability to meet its national carbon reduction target. This assessment approach is considered to be inherently cumulative”.*

The traffic data used for the operational phase assessment included cumulative traffic from existing and permitted developments in the surrounding area. Therefore, this impact assessment is cumulative.

As per the above, the cumulative impact of the proposed development in relation to GHG emissions is considered **direct, long-term, negative** and **slight**, which is overall **not significant** in EIA terms.

### 10.7.3 Worst Case Impact

Conservative assumptions have been made throughout the assessment. Specifically, as part of the GHG assessment, where specific materials were not available conservative equivalent material types were used instead. Additionally, in places, where exact material types were not known for the GHG assessment, the standard average material was assumed which can have a higher embodied carbon associated with it. Therefore, the assessment has been conservative in nature and is likely worst-case.

## 10.8 MONITORING

Monitoring and reporting of the embodied carbon in the construction phase will be conducted. The aim of monitoring will be to seek further ways to minimise climate impacts. Monitoring will include contractual obligations, in line with the most recent Climate Action Plan and sectoral targets, for the successful tenderer to ensure that the proposed development stays in line with updated aims. Commitments to monitor GHG emissions during the construction phase will also be secured through the final CEMP. The contractor will undertake monitoring including: embodied carbon of construction materials, water usage, power and fuel usage, and waste generation (including reuse and recycling rates). Where monitoring shows that the proposed development is not meeting its targets, further mitigation will be put in place.

Monitoring will also be conducted by the contractor to include the ongoing management of adaptation and mitigation to measure their effectiveness. If monitoring of adaptation measures and mitigation measures indicates that the measures are not effectively minimising embodied carbon then they should be reviewed and updated.

## 10.9 REINSTATEMENT

Not applicable to climate.

## 10.10 DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED

There were no difficulties in compiling this assessment.